





he started off from Mobile, with steam up for New Orleans, but was overtaken, (not by a U. S. Corvette) by a storm, shipwrecked, and left the poor, defenceless kind of on American ship. Last night he starts out again, lands at Tuxpan, fights and skirmishes some, is taken by a British gun boat, handed over to the proper authorities and is to be executed.

Now we ask all our friends and their friends to let their sympathies injure them. In the first place Walker had no business there, in the second place, our Government should have stopped him; and, in the third place he placed himself outside of the civilian home of the union. If he did not do this, then he must be a traitor to Buchanan and Stevens A. Douglas. The "Little Giant" is the special pet of all these wandering barbarians, pirates and ocean robbers. They know their man and will vote the *Land Rover's* ticket. We respect law, we deplore anarchy and murder, but we do not care to see the political interests between these hard pincers, encroaching and robbing, overthrown and once and forever!

Postscript.—We have just received the word, by telegraph, that Wm. Walker is no more. Now I wonder if they won't quit?

#### THE DAILY TIMES

Is "taking off" considerably about the interference of the British down in Central America? It appears to think that England is about to be a filibuster as our country, and should interfere in our affairs, or the property of this country will be compelled to enforce the Monroe doctrine. There are some things possible, and some things not, and one of the important things is, that the country, or any part of it, may be made to practice what they call the slave-holders' rule. It will do well enough to grab and steal all the land of our west, neighboring capitals in Central America, but if either England or France, or any other country, does this, it would be hard to say to do with this arrangement;—our slaveholding friends will be as polite as a French dancing master.

It was not through the influence of the slave-holders that James K. Polk backed down from "54° 40' or fight," and gave up 5° of territory, that belonged to us by settlement, to the United States. It was not through the influence of the slave-holders that Great Britain and the rest of us of the Northern Democratic Congressmen so enraged at the cowardice and imbecility of their timid Southern brethren, that Kentucky of all the states, and the whole Democracy would fight for their rights in Oregon, and have then the point of the award." There is a good deal of game and trap in this, and it is not done, and it's all a subterfuge to keep us here. We don't care what business it is of ours if any civilized and Christian power interferes to put down piracy on the high seas or on the land. I suppose the Southern men, in seeing as they are the chief enemies, are sincere in their neck from the garrote, and giving little return to their own land, they would not repeat the folly, but turn him over to the people of the South, and let him be a criminal, "according to law."

Of one thing the Times can be sure, and that is, the Monroe doctrine will never be maintained at the risk of a war with France or England, as long as the slaveholding influence predominates in our government. The Southern coast is too open-slave kingdom too frequent.

#### WILL

The Eagle (Cincinnati) [Ill.] Leader is so kind as to give as credit for a number of stories of ours that have appeared in its columns! We don't mind a "short article or two," but when it comes to whole columns we would like the "Eagle Splitter" to be on the stool!

#### LESSONS COMES

Attempted to make a speech the other night at a Bell & Howell mass meeting on Fifth Street—was interrupted by a shout of "Treason!" and like all the others that class of people, waded a great way of the way to the door, and then, when the police put them to the door, and misinterpreted what the Republicans intended by the speech, he was compelled to do, and misinterpreted what the Republicans party intended not to do. He greatly enlarged on St. Domingo—the editing of slaves—intermission—Terror—Terror—Terror—Terror—so as to give a shout to the statement of the issues in the present crisis, then a discussion of the policy of practicality of turning the Atlantic Ocean up the Mississippi River. The whole mass of grand and bold, and energetic and eloquent language, a man of General Gandy's political fame. He thought the Republican party was the only power in the country to be fanned; and we could not help believing that we were in



## THE DEMOCRATIC TWINS,

BORN AT BALTIMORE, JUNE 17th, 1860.

Old Nurse.—Oh, Golli! if my old Missus Democracy aint gone and had twin! Somebody told die chile dat one ob dem was white, but I golli, dey both niggers!

A real old fashioned meeting. He observed the slaveholders with the responsibility of the Texas statement and reservation, but had no word of condemnation for the formidable, lawless, and Diabolical party in his own State. He boasted that Kentucky was a law-abiding State, but forgot to tell the rest of the nation that he was the author of the treason of the State, who could protest nearly a whole township of citizens from ruthless violence and exploitation. In reference to the outbreak of the rebellion, he said, "D. C. is to blame, Virginia, the Richmonds, Whigs, takes a some what different view of the matter. It says:

"We have no doubt that the Breckinridge Democrats would readily aid the insurrection of the Southern States. Their object is to increase the hostility between the sections, or, if possible, to bring about a dissolution of the Union. Let the people receive with caution instructions from the South."

No Southern men ought come up North, and by their shanders proves a comparison between the two sections. A land of section, blood and slave codes, riot, assassination, burning, and the like. They are the ones that should be ashamed to keep away in the dark, and not expose its piping woes to the gaze of a world in arms for its overthrow.

(continued)

#### REPUBLICANS STAND ON MEDIUM GROUND.

Mross: Enrons.—Your humble correspondent though a "mud-will" of society—(cause: born North of Mason and Dixon's line, and don't own a plant (and niggers) would present a few thoughts on political matters, which are especially and more particularly admitted to those outside of the Republic can party.

Firstly: Democrats persist in synonymizing Republicans and Abolitionists in political principles. Such a charge is simply absurd to the intelligent and well-informed reader. The Republicans, as a non-slaving class, of all parties, who do not possess the facts to refute it. Is there not a wide difference between abolishing slavery and striving against the *enhancement* of it? Further: have not the Abolitionists recently nominated a leader, themselves, in a man of marked distinction? Is not, if Republicans and Abolitionists are all the same, why do they not all vote for one candidate? But Democrats ask: Why is it that we see Abolitionists join the Republican party, and voting with it? I suppose they are doing their duty. Why is it that some Republicans vote with the Republicans? Would they have a man remain *one* party? What would become of the vital principles of Christianity, were there no Republicans? Are they? Because? Perhaps their theory is: They are? Because a few unprincipled, reflecting minds in the Democratic ranks

are fit to join the Republicans, it works like a charm. Let us, then, to elevate them, here are positions and posts of honor (?)

Lastly, but me adverse to the Republicans party is separate and distinct from all other parties. I will repeat that the Republican party stands on medium ground with all others.

It does not interfere with the other parties, and it is not interfered with, but when the South is taking her almost energies to blight the public domain with slavery, then we deem it our sacred duty to strenuously oppose it—mildly but firmly. The South claims that it is in accordance with the Constitution to interfere with the slaves of other states, but they sincerely advocate the Union, the Constitution, etc., and equality of rights, then why the North, with their property, slaves and cattle, sheep and hogs? I should think it would make no essential difference, if the slaves were to be like the human form, driving them around a *not* a *not*, so no, so long as we could get three dollars for every *one* *black*!

One thing is certain: the Constitution was constructed of very elastic materials, or else the consequences of who misrepresents or distorts it are.

Now, we see the Republicans claim that in accordance with the Constitution that the public domain be consecrated to freedom—free men, free press, and free speech. Does the South guarantee this, or even permit it? We of the Republicans, are for a free press, and a free speech, and we will give the framers the credit of not being hypocrites; it is very probable that the interests of the North were entered into their prolific brain.

Where does Douglas stand? He stands like the Ibrahim's rabbit—stand round! But earnestly—where do we find him? Nowhere, and that is the reason he is a *not* a *not*—a kind of compromise candidate, pandamalizing over various parts of the Union. The paper state that he is in search of his mother, but being stopped and betrayed into making a speech, he has not yet been able to find her. He appears to be straining every muscle to find her, but he is not able to do it, of which he does not seem to be at all scrupulous to beg, buy, and hood-wink voters enough to elect him. Is it in these parts the generally received opinion, that he will be elected—to stay at home?

Where is father Abraham? Or, to use the general expression, to him? Where is Honest Abe Lincoln? At home minding his own business. What would become of the Republicans if they were to stand on their rights and principles? Has he no little confidence in the intelligence of the American people, and does he fear so much that he stoops to cheap *shameless* speeches, in order to secure the chief magistracy of the Union? Has he ever given such a set of speeches for the South, and another set for the North? Did he buy

his nomination either with money or by pledges? Was he ever a political trifler for office? Did he ever betray his party? Does he act like a man who is a slave? Is he a friend to free white labor, free homesteads and free speech? Democrats Union men, and all others, what are your accusations against Abraham Lincoln? What has he done against his country? What has he done in an attempt to trammel man over the world? Take a stern platform, review the political life of every candidate, then on the sixth of next November, give in your testimony according to the dictates of your own conscience.

#### COVODE ON CORRUPTION.

John C. Coode said in his Cleveland (Ohio) speech:

"Political contracts affected a vast amount of corruption money. Alexander, a printer in Washington, offered to take a contract for half what was given for it, \$50,000, but could not get it. Pettigrew, a lawyer, was able to present the same facts, and submitted it to the Attorney-General. He did so, and Pettigrew deserved reward, he ordered, 'Referred to the Department of Justice for action.' So Buchanan kept it secret for Wendell. Wendell never gave us testimony till we had it withdrawn. I examined the bank books, and found checks payable to 'Kenne', 'E. C.,' and 'John C. Coode'. Somebody was anxious to know what connection existed between these checks and the loss of millions—possibly by the Republican side of the question. The Attorney-General said, 'I have no knowledge of it.' So Buchanan kept his money, and had the day previous voted with us, but never afterwards. Judge Hall and Oberholzer together, and I guess kept together."

The Measian Marrow Chittenden—Rev. Mr. Newell, who was born in Texas in 1818, and died in 1860, will be remembered by many who attended the General Conference at Buffalo last May. He represented the Arkansas Conference, and was recognized as a peaceful, humble and devout man. He was a man of great piety, of much character and he would be deemed the last man to thrust his views—very—mild and conservative though they were—upon a community.

On the return to Texas a few months since he presented his testimonials of his humility and devotion to his work. But he was a Methodist. That is, in Texas, is deemed the equivalent of nihilism; and the decision of the church was to expel him. But he was a man of great character and he would be deemed the last man to thrust his views—very—mild and conservative though they were—upon a community.

On the return to Texas a few months since he presented his testimonials of his

humility and devotion to his work. But he was a Methodist. That is, in Texas, is deemed the equivalent of nihilism; and the decision of the church was to expel him.

It is proper to say that I have been denied and by any vote of mine to thwart the Scottsides' bill on any amendment which they might desire to add to the bill, and that I have been compelled to oppose its passage. This decision I adopted as a Southern man, and may appear somewhat odd; but that is because I have no sectional feelings of sympathy in mistaken.

Mr. Bell & Sonnen—Man.—In his speech on the Nebraska and Kansas bill, May 25, 1854, Appendix to the Congressional Globe, p. 103, he said:

"It is proper to say that I have been denied and by any vote of mine to thwart the Scottsides' bill on any amendment which they might desire to add to the bill, and that I have been compelled to oppose its passage. This decision I adopted as a Southern man, and may appear somewhat odd; but that is because I have no sectional feelings of sympathy in mistaken.

The Springfield News perpetuates the following political pun:

The chain of American Presidents is not broken, but the Republicans propose to add a link. (A. Lincoln.)

#### REPUBLICANS, ATTENTION!

WE HAVE JUST HEARD

#### Republican Campaign Souvenirs!

NOS. 1, 2, 3, AND 4.

Each containing a selected collection of Republican speeches of either of the following Campaign Sessions:

No. 1, made at the time of the election of 1856; No. 2, made at the time of the election of 1860; No. 3, made at the time of the election of 1864; and No. 4, made at the time of the election of 1868.

With a choice of either of the above, \$1.00. Any subsequent edition will be sold 50 cents extra.

Single copy 50 cents. Postage paid 10 cents.

Order from the Agent, 107 Broadway, New York.

DORY, SPRING & CO.,

No. 69 West Fourth St., Cincinnati, O.

#### WOOD'S THEATER,

COR. OF SIXTH & VINE STS.,

CINCINNATI, O.

J. A. KELLEY, JR., Manager.

Box Office, 107 Broadway.

G. J. THAYER, Treasurer.

Performance every evening, (Tuesday excepted), with a matinee on Saturday.

Doors open at 8 P.M. Tickets 50 cents at the box office.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

Patrons are requested to be seated by 8 P.M.

